

## FORECAST ANALYSIS OF DIVIDEND IN THE SELECTED STEEL COMPANIES IN INDIA

**Dr. M. Krishnamoorthi**

*Assistant professor*

*Department of Management Studies*

*Priyadarshinii Engineering College, Vaniyambadi*

### ABSTRACT

*The Indian Iron and Steel industry contributes significantly to the overall growth and development of the economy. As per the estimation of the ministry of steel, the industry today directly contributes to 2% of India's GDP. Payment of dividend is desirable because the shareholders contribute in the capital of the company to earn higher returns from their investment and to maximize their wealth. In this, retained earnings are the major sources of internal finance for financing future requirement such as expansion and modernisation of the company. Hence, both business growth and dividends are desirable. On the contrary, higher dividend leads to less provision of funds for growth and higher retained earnings leads to low dividends which majority of shareholders dissatisfies from return on investment, from the analysis it found that the dividend ratios such as Dividend Payout Ratio, Dividend Per Share, Earning Per Share differ significantly between largecap companies and midcap companies.*

**Key words:** *Dividend, Dividend Payout Ratio, Dividend Per Share, Earning Per Share, dividend policy, investors*

### INTRODUCTION

The Indian Iron and Steel industry contributes significantly to the overall growth and development of the economy. As per the estimation of the ministry of steel, the industry today directly contributes to 2% of India's GDP and its weightage in the official index of Industrial Production (IPP) is 6.2%. The industry has been able to shape out a niche for itself globally. From a country with a production of one million tonnes at the time of independence, it has now become the world's 4<sup>th</sup> largest producer of crude steel preceded behind China, Japan and the US. Crude steel production grew by 4.6% to 81.2 million tonnes and steel demand grew by 1.8%. India's GDP growth has slowed down to 5% in 2013 on account of rising inflation and tight monetary controls. This has led to weak domestic steel demand, which grew by 3.3% in 2013, in spite of a rise in demand in the last quarter.

Due to infrastructure creation and urbanization emerging as key growth enabler, the Indian economy is witnessing rising import of steel in recent times. This has resulted in India becoming the big exporter of steel in Financial Year 2013-14 after a gap of six years. Total steel exports by India during the Financial Year stood at 5.59 million tonnes, as against imports of 5.44 million tonnes as per the report issued by the Joint Plant Committee (JPC), a unit of the steel ministry. India's GDP is expected to grow by 5% and steel demand is expected to grow by

3.3% in 2014. However, in the past three years, growth in this sector has been just 5%. Observed with the market trend, the National Steel Policy 2012 is being set in place to facilitate rapid growth of the domestic steel sector by ensuring more rapid capacity addition. At the same time, India is committed to reduce GHG Emission Intensity of its GDP to 20-25% by 2020 over the 2005 level, through pursuits of proactive policies. There is a need to transform the technological face of the Indian steel industry to achieve international benchmarks as a long-term strategy.

## **DIVIDEND**

The word 'dividend' derived from the Latin word "dividend" which means that divided. According to the Institute of Chartered Accountants of India, "dividend is a distribution to shareholders out of profits or reserves available for this purpose."

Also, it means that the portion of net profit distributed to shareholders, the profits after deducting all expenses, provision made for taxation, and transferring some portion of amount to reserve from the total income of the company. If the company desires to pay dividends to the shareholders, it should have sufficient profit; it should get approval from the Board of Directors and acceptance of the shareholders at the annual general meeting.

## **DIVIDEND POLICY**

According to Weston and Brigham, "dividend policy determines the division of earnings between payments to shareholders and retained earnings."

The dividend policy of a firm greatly influences the dividends and retained earnings proportion decision. As discussed above, the dividends are payable in cash mode by the company to its shareholders. The Retained earnings should be a part of business surplus remaining earning should kept as reserve for financing firm's long term growth or it reinvest in the business, also the dividend policy of a firm affects shareholders wealth as well as firm's long term financial position. Financial experts shall adopt a usual dividend policy in order to bring consistency for better investment. The experts also suggest that the company should not pay dividends in the beginning of business operation. That should pay after evaluation of past performance and predicted of future performance.

The evaluation of dividend progress of steel companies to identify how the companies are retaining their existing investor and attracting new investor to keep its market share position in stable level because the investor is the major key player to start and run a business and keep this position, the company has to fulfil investor's financial needs through the issuing of dividend and other financial benefits. Dividend decision is one of the three major important decisions of financial management. The financial manager has to choose between the distribution of earnings and retention of earnings. The choice would depend on the effect of the decision on the shareholder wealth. For this, the payment of dividends should be preferred to maximize shareholders wealth. Otherwise, the company should retain the profit. The financial expert has to

take a decision for dividend payment based on dividend policy of firm's determinants and the proportion of retaining earnings that are reinvestment purpose.

## NEED OF THE STUDY

Once a company makes a profit, management must decide to utilize profits. In order to retain the profits within the company for the purpose of expansion and modernization, or it could pay out its surplus profits to the shareholders in the form of dividends. If the company decides to pay dividends, it may formulate a permanent dividend policy; this policy creates a good impact on the company's value in the financial markets to fulfill investor's expectation. It depends on the present and future situation of the company and its financial planning. It also depends on the management decision and preferences of retail and potential investors. Therefore, that the company needs to concentrate on dividend policy and dividend declarations to retain their existing shareholders or investors and attracting new investor.

## STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Payment of dividend is desirable because the shareholders contribute in the capital of the company to earn higher returns from their investment and to maximize their wealth. In this, retained earnings are the major sources of internal finance for financing future requirement such as expansion and modernisation of the company. Hence, both business growth and dividends are desirable. On the contrary, higher dividend leads to less provision of funds for growth and higher retained earnings leads to low dividends which majority of shareholders dissatisfies from return on investment. Therefore, both decisions are complementary to each other and no decision can be taken independent of the other, the finance manager has to formulate a guidable dividend policy to fix the proportion of dividend payment and retention that can retain the existing shareholders and attract new investors. Increasing profitability and dividend declaration are most significant tasks of the business managers. Hence, finance managers constantly investigate possible ways to change the business to improve profitability and shareholders wealth. These possible changes can be analysed in the present study and attempt to make the evaluation of profitability and dividend progress of select steel companies in India.

## OBJECTIVES OF THE STUDY

1. To measure the forecast value of dividend of select steel companies in India
2. To identify the trend level of dividend ratios of select steel companies in India

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE ON DIVIDEND

**Lintner** (1956) studied the recognized companies in the United States of America and concluded that the recent earnings power and past dividend records are key determinants of changes in dividend payout, and it helps to maintain the regular increase in dividend policy of the companies.

**Alex Kane, Young Ki Lee and Alan Marcus** (1984) examined that the abnormal stock returns surrounding contemporaneous earnings and dividend announcements in order to determine whether investor evaluate the two announcements in relation to each other. They found that there is a statistically significant interaction effect. The abnormal return corresponding to any earnings or dividend announcement depends upon the value of other announcement.

**Warren Bailey** (1988) indicated that the premium is largely explained by the relative value of dividend paid and cost imposed on investor by stock dividend payment and shares conversion procedures. Premium for few firms also reflects the relative liquidity of two classes of shares.

**David** (1990) found that special dividend payments generally increase the wealth of target firm's shareholders, regardless of payout type, those firms remaining independent after the outcome of corporate control contest experience an abnormal share price increase over the duration.

**Harry De Angelo, Linda De Angelo, and Douglas Skinner** (1992) found that Dividend reductions are more likely given greater current losses, less negative unusual item, and more persistent earnings difficulties. Dividend policy has information content in the knowledge that a firm has reduced dividends improves the ability of current earnings to predict future earnings.

**Franklin Allen, Antonio Bernardo and Ivo Welch** (2000) studied about firms paying dividend attract relatively more institution, which have a relative advantage in detecting high firm quality and in ensuring firms are well managed and suggested the prediction that it is the tax differences between institutions and retailers investors that determines dividend payments.

**Doron Nissim and Amir Ziv** (2001) studied about the relation between dividend changes and future profitability and measured in terms of either future earnings or future abnormal earnings, they found that dividend changes provide information about the level of profitability in same period, incremental to market and accounting data.

**Eugene A. Pilotte** (2003) examined the possibility that inflation also proxies for variance between real price and dividend ratios and found that the covariance between real price /dividend ratios and inflation is nonzero, the relationship between return and expected inflation differ for the two components of return: dividend yields and capital gain returns

**Lubos Pastor and Pietro Veronesi** (2003) developed a simple approach for valuing stock in the presence of learning about average profitability. The market to book ratio increases with uncertainty about average profitability and found the prediction that younger stock and stock that pay no dividends have more volatile returns. Firm's profitability has become more volatile.

**Malcolm Baker and Jeffrey Wurgler** (2004) proposed that the decision to pay dividends is driven by prevailing investor demand for dividend payers. Managers cater to investors by paying dividends when investor put a stock price premium on payers and not paying when investor prefer non payers and measured non payers tend to initiate dividends when demand is high. But sometimes payers tend to omit dividends when demand is low.

**Adam Koch and AmyX. Sun** (2004) studied whether the market interprets changes in dividends as a signal about the persistence of past earning changes. Prior to this signal, investor may believe past earnings changes are not necessarily indicative of future earnings level. And found that the changes in dividend cause investors to revise their expectation about the persistence of past earning changes. This effect varies predictably with the magnitude of the dividend change and sign of past earnings change.

**Philip Brown and Alex Clarke** (1993) have documented shifts in ex-day pricing of Australian companies that paid cash dividend and relate these shifts to three major changes in taxation of capital gains, dividend and superannuation funds. Despite the changes, which on the whole increasingly favoured dividend over capital gain shareholders, have continued to prefer capital gain.

**Campbell and Beranek** (1955) and **Durand and May** (1960) have found that the ex-dividend day drop in share price was not significantly different from the amount of the dividend. Dividend seemed to have no intrinsic value beyond their face value, and marginal investor was indifferent between dividend and capital gains.

**Miller and Modigliani** (1961) explained dividend irrelevance theorem for a (tax free) perfect capital market given the firm's investment policy, how investors are received their income, whether it is through dividend or capital gain, would be irrelevant share price in such a market.

**DuhaAl-Kuwari** (2009) investigated the determinants of dividend policies for firms listed on Gulf Cooperation Council country stock exchanges and resulted that the main characteristics of firm dividend payout policy and dividend payment related strongly and directly to government ownership, firm size and firm profitability, but negatively to the leverage ratio in addition and as a result of the significant agency conflict interacting with need to build firm reputation, a firm's dividend policy was found to depend heavily on firm profitability.

**Nickolaos Travlos, Lenos Trigeorgis and Nikos Vafeas** (2001) found that positive impact of dividend increase may reflect apparently effective attempts by Cyprus listed firms to bridge the information asymmetry gap with investor via their dividend payout policy.

**Faccio Mara, Lary, Lang and Leslie Young** (2001) examined group-affiliated corporations in Europe pay higher dividends than in Asia, dampening insider expropriation. Dividend rates are higher in Europe, but lower in Asia, when there are multiple large shareholders, suggesting that they dampen expropriation in Europe, but exacerbate it in Asia.

**Fenn and Liang** (2001) analysed how corporate payout policy is affected by managerial stock incentives. They found that managerial stock incentives mitigate the agency cost for firms with excess cash flow problem. They also found that a strong relationship between dividend and management stock option.

**Kevin** (1992) shows that dividend stability is the primary determinate of payout while profitability is only secondary importance.

**Bhat, Ramesh and Pandey**(1994)found that payments of dividends depend on current and expected earnings as well as the pattern of past dividend, Dividends are used in signalling the future prospects and dividends are paid even there is profitable investment opportunity.

**Mohanty and Pitabas**(1999) examined the behaviour of payout after the bonus issue and found that bonus issuing firms yielded greater issues to their shareholders than those that did not make any bonus issue but maintained a steadily increasing dividend rate.

**Reddy**(2002) examined the dividend behaviour and attempts to explain the observed behaviour with help of trade of theory and signalling hypothesis the paper support the earlier findings that dividend omission have information content about the future earnings but does not find any evidence in the support of tax preference theory.

**Manos**(2003) estimated cost minimisation model of dividend and found that government ownership, insider ownership, risk, debt and growth opportunity have a negative impact on the payout ratio, whereas institutional ownership, foreign ownership, and dispersed ownership have a positive impact on the payout ratio.

**Kothari and Walia**(2004) guide lined for payment of dividend by Haryana state public enterprises, it is too early to comment on the impact of the guidelines on the working performance of various state public undertakings, However, a strict and stringent compliance as well as proper monitoring will go a long way in making the public sector undertakings accountable and responsible and also improving their performance and profitability.

## RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

### Research Design

The research design describes the theoretical plan and structure of the study to find answers to the research problem. It constitutes the outline for data collection, sampling techniques and framework for analysis of data. The present study is both descriptive and analytical nature.

### Data Collection

The present study purely based on the secondary data only. The related data, such as profit and loss account statement, balance sheet and some important key ratios were collected from the published annual reports of selected steel companies in India. Other related information was collected from the Centre for Monitoring Indian Economy (CMIE) Reports, official website of selected steel companies, NSE, BSE, annual report of the ministry of steel, Institute of Financial Management and Research (IFMR), Libraries of various institutions, research publications and various academic research reports. Further the researcher referred various finance related textbooks and journals.

### Sampling

In order to analyse the profitability and dividend performance of steel companies, the details of 72 companies were collected. From this, the steel companies which satisfied the following criteria which have been shortlisted for further research:

1. The companies listed in NSE and BSE.
2. Availability of data at least for the period of 10 years.

3. The company should have at least three years of continues profit during the study period.
4. The companies declared and paid dividend for a minimum of three years during the study period.
5. The selected steel companies have been classified as large and mid cap companies based on market capitalisation.

The companies' stocks with market capitalisation of Rs. 10,000 crore or more are large cap companies and which are listed below:

#### **Large cap Companies**

- i. Tata Steel Limited
- ii. Steel Authority of India Limited (SAIL)
- iii. JSW Steel Limited
- iv. Visa Steel Limited

The companies' stocks with market capitalisation between Rs. 2,000crore to Rs.10,000 crore are mid cap companiesand which are listed below:

#### **Mid Cap Companies**

- i. Bhushan Steel Limited
- ii. Jindal Steel and Power Limited (JSPL)
- iii. Kalyani Steels Limited

### **FRAMEWORK FOR ANALYSIS**

The various statistical tools are used to analyse the profitability and dividend performance of the selected steel companies in India. The study of financial statement such as profit and loss accounts and balance sheets dividend ratios constitutes in the framework of analysis. The frame work of analysis contains data analysis by using of SPSS package with applications of ratio analysis and forecast model test

### **FORECASTED OF DIVIDEND PAYOUT RATIO**

#### **Model fitting of performance of selected financial parameters**

Eleven basic mathematical models were used to fit these data. The best fitting model is identified by the highest  $R^2$  value, since  $R^2$  describes them the goodness of fit of the model. Once the best fit model is identified, then the forecast for the next few years were estimated using the model. It is seen from the analysis that the cubic model has highest  $R^2$  value and hence considered as the best fitting model when comparing with all other models. The model equations for the Dividend Payout Ratio for the large cap and mid cap companies are

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{TATA} &= 17.5903 + 5.7165 t - 1.1007 t^2 + 0.0531 t^3 \\
 Y_{SAIL} &= -21.707 + 29.8831 t - 5.9214 t^2 + 0.3589 t^3 \\
 Y_{JSW} &= -14.343 + 20.0132 t - 3.8394 t^2 + 0.2152 t^3 \\
 Y_{VISA} &= 6.0907 - 9.5540 t + 3.4862 t^2 - 0.2631 t^3 \\
 Y_{BHUSHAN} &= 4.0643 + 2.4997 t - 0.7375 t^2 + 0.0468 t^3 \\
 Y_{JSPL} &= 12.6377 - 1.1095 t - 0.0731 t^2 + 0.0152 t^3 \\
 Y_{KALYANI} &= -14.547 + 25.8508 t - 5.4415 t^2 + 0.3379 t^3
 \end{aligned}$$

**Table 1 Forecasted values of Dividend Payout Ratio**

	Large Cap Companies				Mid Cap Companies		
Year	TATA	SAIL	JSW	VISA	BHUSHAN	JSPL	KALYANI
2014	17.99	68.23	27.62	-27.32	4.57	11.85	58.12
2015	19.48	104.41	44.75	-61.13	8.67	15.1	92.96
2016	22.59	154.58	69.68	-106.91	14.67	19.3	141.24

Using the coefficient values of these models the forecast was done for next few years i.e. for 2014, 2015 and 2016. Using the coefficient values of these models the forecast was done for next few years ie for 2014, 2015 and 2016. Observing the estimates of these models it can be predicted that the Dividend Payout Ratio for TATA is expected to be 17.99, 19.48 and 22.59 for the years 2014-16 respectively. The predicted Dividend Payout Ratio for SAIL is expected to be 68.23, 104.41 and 154.58 for the years 2014-16 respectively, for JSW is expected to be 27.62, 44.75 and 69.68 for the years 2014-16 respectively and for VISA is expected to be -27.32, -61.13 and -106.91 for the years 2014-16 respectively, for BUSHAN is expected to be 4.57, 8.67 and 14.67 for the years 2014-16 respectively. The predicted Dividend Payout Ratio for JINDAL is expected to be 11.85, 15.1 and 19.3 for the years 2014-16 respectively, for KALYANI is expected to be 58.12, 95.96 and 141.24 for the years 2014-16 respectively.

## FORECAST OF DIVIDEND PER SHARE

### Model fitting of Performance of selected Financial Parameters

. It is seen from the analysis that the cubic model has highest  $R^2$  value and hence considered as the best fitting model when comparing with all other models. The model equations for the Dividend Per Share for the large cap and mid cap companies are

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{TATA} &= 5.3500 + 5.3305 t - 0.8811 t^2 + 0.0384 t^3 \\
 Y_{SAIL} &= -1.6767 + 2.5620 t - 0.4044 t^2 + 0.0185 t^3 \\
 Y_{JSW} &= -7.9750 + 10.6158 t - 1.8992 t^2 + 0.1024 t^3 \\
 Y_{VISA} &= 0.3000 - 0.4225 t + 0.1428 t^2 - 0.0105 t^3 \\
 Y_{BHUSHAN} &= -0.5000 + 1.9239 t - 0.3339 t^2 + 0.0150 t^3 \\
 Y_{JSPL} &= 2.6033 + 10.6149 t - 2.6273 t^2 - 0.1571 t^3 \\
 Y_{KALYANI} &= -3.6667 + 4.4665 t - 0.8684 t^2 + 0.0476 t^3
 \end{aligned}$$



**Table 2 Forecasted values of Dividend per Share**

Year	Large Cap Companies				Mid Cap Companies		
	TATA	SAIL	JSW	VISA	BHUSHAN	JSPL	KALYANI
2014	8.43	2.19	15.32	-1.03	0.17	10.51	3.79
2015	8.73	2.79	22.91	-2.34	0.35	23.05	7.2
2016	10.02	3.92	34.08	-4.11	0.94	41.65	12.3

Using the coefficient values of these models the forecast was done for next few years ie for 2014, 2015 and 2016. Observing the estimates of these models it can be predicted that the Dividend Per Share for TATA is expected to be 8.43, 8.73 and 10.02 for the years 2014-16 respectively. The predicted Dividend Per Share for SAIL is expected to be 2.19, 2.79 and 3.92 for the years 2014-16 respectively, for JSW is expected to be 15.32, 22.91 and 34.08 for the years 2014-16 respectively and for VISA is expected to be -1.03, -2.34 and -4.11 for the years 2014-16 respectively,

The predicted Dividend Per Share for BUSHAN is expected to be 0.17, 0.35 and 0.94 for the years 2014-16 respectively, for JINDAL is expected to be 10.51, 23.05 and 41.65 for the years 2014-16 respectively, for KALYANI is expected to be 3.79, 7.2 and 12.3 for the years 2014-16 respectively.

## FORECAST OF EARNING RETENTION RATIO

### Model fitting of Performance of selected Financial Parameters

. It is seen from the analysis that the cubic model has highest  $R^2$  value and hence considered as the best fitting model when comparing with all other models. The model equations for the Earning Retention Ratio for the large cap and mid cap companies are

$$Y_{TATA} = 83.0893 - 4.7035 t + 0.5986 t^2 - 0.0121 t^3$$

$$Y_{SAIL} = 120.403 - 28.614 t + 5.5235 t^2 - 0.3270 t^3$$

$$Y_{JSW} = 120.902 - 28.958 t + 5.7069 t^2 - 0.3174 t^3$$

$$Y_{VISA} = 110.478 - 4.1587 t - 0.7455 t^2 - 0.0074 t^3$$

$$Y_{BHUSHAN} = 94.5553 - 1.7121 t + 0.6220 t^2 - 0.0419 t^3$$

$$Y_{JSPL} = 87.2763 - 1.2634 t + 0.0542 t^2 - 0.0149 t^3$$

$$Y_{KALYANI} = 120.240 - 29.367 t + 6.1570 t^2 - 0.3772 t^3$$

**Table 3 Forecasted values of Earning Retention Ratio**

Year	Large Cap Companies				Mid Cap Companies		
	TATA	SAIL	JSW	VISA	BHUSHAN	JSPL	KALYANI
2014	87.72	38.77	70.5	-15.62	95.24	87.96	40.18
2015	91.99	7.39	46.81	-33.98	91.21	84.57	2.69
2016	96.59	-36.5	11.69	-53.31	85.41	80.23	-49.65

Using the coefficient values of these models the forecast was done for next few years ie for 2014, 2015 and 2016. Observing the estimates of these models it can be predicted that the Earning Retention Ratio for TATA is expected to be 87.72, 91.99 and 96.59 for the years 2014-16 respectively. The predicted Earning Retention Ratio for SAIL is expected to be 38.77, 7.39 and -36.5 for the years 2014-16 respectively, for JSW is expected to be 70.5, 46.81 and 11.69 for the years 2014-16 respectively and for VISA is expected to be -15.62, -33.98 and -53.31 for the years 2014-16 respectively, The predicted Earning Retention Ratio for BUSHAN is expected to be 95.24, 91.21 and 85.41 for the years 2014-16 respectively, for JINDAL is expected to be 87.96, 84.57 and 80.23 for the years 2014-16 respectively, for KALYANI is expected to be 40.18, 2.69 and -49.65 for the years 2014-16 respectively

## FORECASTED VALUES OF EARNING PER SHARE

### Model fitting of Performance of selected Financial Parameters

It is seen from the analysis that the cubic model has highest  $R^2$  value and hence considered as the best fitting model when comparing with all other models. The model equations for the Earning per share for the large cap and mid cap companies are

$$\begin{aligned}
 Y_{TATA} &= 39.5453 + 12.4569t - 1.5669t^2 + 0.0501t^3 \\
 Y_{SAIL} &= 3.1237 + 5.1070t - 5.1070t^2 - 0.0002t^3 \\
 Y_{JSW} &= -24.657 + 46.7269t - 6.9630t^2 + 0.3363t^3 \\
 Y_{VISA} &= 4.7140 - 3.2599t + 0.8726t^2 - 0.0694t^3 \\
 Y_{BHUSHAN} &= 12.9093 - 1.5388t + 7.2498t^2 - 0.7046t^3 \\
 Y_{JSPL} &= -26.241 + 162.733t - 36.423t^2 - 2.0738t^3 \\
 Y_{KALYANI} &= -14.115 + 21.0593t - 4.0755t^2 + 0.2187t^3
 \end{aligned}$$

**Table 4 Forecasted values of Earning per share**

Year	Large Cap Companies				Mid Cap Companies		
	TATA	SAIL	JSW	VISA	BHUSHAN	JSPL	KALYANI
2014	53.68	-0.34	94.49	-17.94	-64.64	116.86	15.46
2015	49.99	-6.59	114.59	-28.68	-179.17	265.16	29.59
2016	46.78	-13.84	144.99	-42.68	-329.93	489.93	51.32

Using the coefficient values of these models the forecast was done for next few years ie for 2014, 2015 and 2016. Observing the estimates of these models it can be predicted that the Earning per share Ratio for TATA is expected to be 53.68, 49.99 and 46.78 for the years 2014-16 respectively. The predicted Earning per share Ratio for SAIL is expected to be -0.34, -6.59 and -13.84 for the years 2014-16 respectively, for JSW is expected to be 94.49, 114.59 and 144.99 for the years 2014-16 respectively and for VISA is expected to be -17.94, -28.68 and -42.68 for the years 2014-16 respectively, The predicted Earning per share Ratio for BUSHAN is expected to be -64.64, -179.17 and -329.93 for the years 2014-16 respectively, for JINDAL is expected to be 116.86, 265.16 and 489.93 for the years 2014-16 respectively, for KALYANI is expected to be 15.46, 29.59 and 51.32 for the years 2014-16 respectively.

## FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATION

- The Dividend payout ratio of TATA, SAIL, JSW, VISA and KALYANI show favour with excellence in managerial ability and status of companies that can be maintained for long periods. BHUSHAN and JSPL show lower ratio, hence they should increase their payout ratio for the welfare of investors.
- Dividend per share is an important and commonly used ratio to identify original shareholder benefits. SAIL, VISA, BHUSHAN and KALYANI declared below Rs.5 as a dividend. It shows that the companies not caring of investor benefits, it is suggested to declare higher dividend as much as possible because it may lead to shareholders to stay longer period.
- The higher earnings retention ratios are found in VISA, BHUSHAN and JSPL. It shows that these companies are giving more importance to their growth like expansion, modernization. At the same time, these companies should take care of investor benefits by paying of higher dividend, earnings retention depending on the company's earnings stability and dividend payment policy.
- SAIL, VISA and KALYANI recorded their Earnings per share at lower levels due to minimum profit allowed to the equity shareholders on per share basis. These companies should take care of its profitability maintenance to increase market share by attracting new investors.

## CONCLUSION

The dividend progress plays important role in the financial activities of the company and also its affect profitability, liquidity, capital structure, flow of fund, share valuation, and investor satisfaction with regard to wealth maximization. It helps companies to maximize the market value in the capital market. The present study concludes that many of the companies following proper dividend policy and paying regular dividend, that will lead to investors' satisfaction towards better income generation on investment, also it will help to retain existing investor for long period and acquire new investor to mobilize fund for future projects.

## REFERENCES

- Adam S. Koch & Amy X. Sun. (2004). Dividend Changes and the Persistence of Past Earnings Changes. *The Journal of Finance*, 59(5), 2093-2116.
- Alex Kane, young ki Lee & Alan Marcus, (1984) Earnings & Dividend Announcements: Is there a Corroboration Effect. *The Journal of Finance*, 39(4), 1091-1099.
- Andy Naranjo, M. Nimalendran & Mike Ryngaert, (2000). Time Variation of Ex-Dividend Day Stock Returns & Corporate Dividend Capture: A Re examination. *The Journal of Finance*, 55(5), 2357-2372.
- Andy Naranjo, M. Nimalendran & Mike Ryngaert. (1998). Stock Returns, Dividend Yields, and Taxes. *The Journal of Finance*, 53(6), 2029-2057.
- Annual report, (2012-2013). Ministry of Steel, Government of India.
- Bhat, Ramesh & Pandey, I.M. (1994). Dividend Decision: A Study of Managers Perception. *Decision*, 21, 67-86.
- Bhattacharya, Sudipto, (1979). Imperfect Information, Dividend Policy & The Bird In The Hand. *The Journal of Economics*, 10, 259-270.
- Campbell, J.A. & Beranek, W. (1955). Stock price behaviour on Ex Dividend Date. *The Journal of Finance*, 10(4), 425-429.
- Claudio, F. Lorderer & David C. Mauer. (1992). Corporate Dividend and Seasoned Equity s: An Empirical Investigation. *Journal of Finance*, 47(1), 201-225.
- David J. Denis, (1990). Defensive Changes In Corporate Payout Policy: Share Repurchases & Special Dividends. *The Journal of Finance*, 45(5), 1433-1456.
- Delmar, F., Davidson, P., & Gartner, W. (2003). Arriving at the High Growth Firm. *Journal of Business Venturing*, 18(2), 189-216.

- Duha Al-Kuwari, (2009). Determinants of the Dividend Policy in Emerging Stock Exchanges: The Case of GCC Countries. *Global Economy & Finance Journal*, 2(2), 38-63.
- Durand, D. & A.M. May. (1960). The Ex-dividend Behaviour of American Telephone & Telegraph Stock, *Journal of Finance*, 15(1), 19-31.
- Eugene A. Pilotte. (2003). Capital Gains, Dividend Yields, & Expected Inflation. *The Journal of Finance*, 58(1), 447-466.
- Faccio Mara, Lary, H.P. Lang & Leslie Young, (2001) Dividend & Ex-proportion. *American Economic Review*, 9(1), 54-78.
- Fenn, George W. & Nelie Liang. (2001). Corporate Payout Policy & Managerial Stock Incentives. *The Journal of Financial Economics*, 60, 45-72.
- Franklin Allen, Antonio E. Bernardo & Ivo Welch, (2000). A Theory of Dividends Based on Tax Clienteles. *The Journal of Finance*, 55(6), 2499-2536.
- Han Ki. C, Suk Hun Lee & David Y. Suk (1999). Institutional Shareholders & Dividends. *The Journal of Finance & Strategies Decision*, 12(1), 53-62.
- Harry De Angelo, Linda De Angelo, & Douglas J. Skinner, (1992). Dividends & Losses, *The Journal of Finance*, 47(5), 1837-1863.
- Horngren, Sundem, & Stratton, (2007). Introduction to Management Accounting. 13<sup>th</sup> edition, Dividend and Retainings, 678-680. New Delhi, Prentice-Hall of India Pvt.Ltd.
- James R. Hines JR, (1996). Dividend & Profits: Some Unsubtle Foreign Influences. *The Journal of Finance*, 51(2), 661-689.
- James, C. Van Horn. (2008). Financial Management and Policy, 12<sup>th</sup> edition, Dividend and share repurchase: theory and practice, 327-358. New Delhi, Pearson Prentice Hall of India Private Limited.
- Janice CY How, Kian Ngo & Peter Verhoeven. (2011). Dividend Initiations & Long Run IPO Performance. *Australian Journal of Management*, 36 (2), 267-286.
- Jayesh Kumar. (2006). Corporate Governance & Dividends Payout in India. *Journal of Emerging Market Finance*, 5(1), 15-58.
- Kathryn L. Dewenter & Vincent A. Warther, (1998) Dividends, Asymmetric Information, & Agency Conflict: Evidence from a Comparison of the Dividend Policies of Japanese & U.S Firms. *The Journal of Finance*, 53(3), 879-904.

- Kenneth M. Eades, Patrick J. Hess & E. Han Kim, (1994). Time-Series Variation in Dividend and share Pricing. *The Journal of Finance*, 49(5), 1617-1638.
- Kevin, S. (1992). Dividend Policy: An Analysis of Some Determinants. *Finance India*, 6(2), 253-259.
- Kevin. S. (2012). Security Analysis And Portfolio Management, 11<sup>th</sup> edition. Company Analysis, 88-93. New Delhi, PHI Learning private Limited.
- Khan, M.Y., & Jain, P.K. (2007). Financial Management - Text and Problems. 5<sup>th</sup> edition. Financial Statement Analysis, 6.1 – 6.72. New Delhi, Tata McGraw-Hill Publishing Company Limited.
- Kothari.S&Walia, K. L. (2004). Guidelines for Payment of Dividend by the Haryana State Public Enterprises. *The Journal of Business Perspective*, 5(2) 89-96
- Lintner, J. (1956). Distribution of Incomes of Corporations Among Dividends, Retained Earnings & Taxes. *American Economic Review*, 46, 97-113.
- Lucy F. Ackert & Brain F. Smith, (1993). Stock Price Stability, Ordinary Dividends, & Other Cash Flow to Shareholders. *The Journal of Finance*, 48(4), 1147-1160.
- Lucy F. Ackert & Brain F. Smith, (1993). Stock Price Stability, Ordinary Dividends, & Other Cash Flow to Shareholders. *The Journal of Finance*, 48(4), 1147-1160.
- Maheshwari, S.N. (2006). Elements of Financial Management, 10<sup>th</sup> edition, Dividend Policy, A.88-109. New Delhi, Sultan Chand & Sons.
- Malcolm Baker & Jeffrey Wurgler (2004). A Catering Theory of Dividend. *The Journal of Finance*, 59(3), 1125-1165.
- Manos & Ronny, (2003). Dividend Policy and Agency Theory: Evidence from Indian Firms. *South Asia Economic Journal*, 4(2), 276-300.
- Miller, M & Modigliani, F. (1961). Dividend Policy, Growth & Valuation of Shares. *Journal of Business*, 34(4), 411-431.
- Miller, M. & Scholes, M. (1978). Dividend & Taxes. *Journal of Financial Economics*, 6(4), 333-364.
- Miller, M. & Scholes, M. (1982). Dividend & Taxes: Some Empirical Evidence. *The Journal of Political Economy*, 90(6), 1118-1142.

- Mohammad Al-Gharaigeh, Ziad Zurigat & Khaled Al Harahsheh. (2013). The Effect of Ownership Structure on Dividend Policy in Jordanian Companies. *Interdisciplinary Journal of Contemporary Research in Business*, 4(9), 769-796.
- Mohanty, Pitabas, (1999). Dividend & Bonus Policy of Indian Companies: An Analysis. *Vikalpa*, 24(4), 35-42.
- Myers, S.C. (1984). The Capital Structure Puzzle. *The Journal of Finance*, 39(3), 575-592.
- Nickolaos Travlos, Les Trigeorgis & Nikos Vafeas. (2001). Shareholder Wealth Effect of Dividend Policy Changes In an Emerging Stock Market: The Case of Cyprus. *Multinational Financial Journal*, 5(2), 87-112.
- Nimalendran & Mike Ryngaert, (1998). Stock Returns, Dividend Yields, & Taxes. *The Journal of Finance*, 53(6), 2029-2057.
- Palanichamy, P. (1991). Financial Management In The Public Sector Enterprises (A Study Of Working Capital Management Of Public Enterprises In Tamilnadu) Ph.D- Unpublished thesis. Pondicherry University, Pondicherry.
- Pandey, I. M. (2007). Financial Management, 9<sup>th</sup> edition. Dividend theory and Dividend Policy, 379-408. New Delhi, Vikas Publishing House Pvt. Ltd.
- Pandey, I. M. (2007). Financial Management, 9<sup>th</sup> edition. Financial Statement Analysis, 517-555. New Delhi, Vikas Publishing House Pvt. Ltd.
- Pandey, I.M. (2009) Essentials of Financial Management, Dividend Decisions, 61-95. New Delhi, Vikas publishing House Pvt. Ltd.
- Philip Brown & Alex Clarke. (1993). The Ex-Dividend Day Behaviour of Australian Shares Price Before & After Dividend Imputation. *Australian Journal of Management*, 18(1), 1-40.
- Upinder, S. Dhillon & Herb Johnson, (1994). The Effect of Dividend Changes on Stock & Bond Prices. *The Journal of Finance*, 49(1), 281-289.

## WEBSITES

[www.nseindia.com](http://www.nseindia.com)

[www.bseindia.com](http://www.bseindia.com)

[www.moneycontrol.com](http://www.moneycontrol.com)

[www.tatasteel.com](http://www.tatasteel.com)

[www.sail.co.in](http://www.sail.co.in)

[www.jsw.in](http://www.jsw.in)

[www.visasteel.com](http://www.visasteel.com)

[www.bhushan-group.org](http://www.bhushan-group.org)

[www.jindalsteelpower.com](http://www.jindalsteelpower.com)

**IJRSSH**