

An International Open-Access Peer Reviewed Referred Journal

Impact Factor: 8.909

E-ISSN: 2249 - 4642

P-ISSN: 2454 - 4671

A Socio-Pragmatic Study of Compliments and Compliment Responses in American and British Sitcoms

Hams Ali Hasan Kharboot, Assist Prof. Bushra Ni'ma Rashed (Ph.D)

University of Baghdad, College of Education, Ibn Rushd for Humanities, English Language
Department

DOI: http://doi.org/10.37648/ijrssh.v11i01.025

Paper Received:

15th November, 2020

Paper Accepted:

10th December, 2020

Paper Received After Correction:

04th January, 2021

Paper Published:

06th March, 2021



How to cite the article: Hams Ali Hasan Kharboot, Bushra Ni'ma Rashed, A Socio-Pragmatic Study of Compliments and Compliment Responses in American and British Sitcoms, IJRSSH, January-March 2021 Vol 11, Issue 1; 436-470, DOI: http://doi.org/10.37648/ijrssh.v11i01.025

ABSTRACT

Compliments in general are an essential part of everyday interactions. People use compliments mainly to reinforce or encourage certain behaviours or acts, as well as to show admiration or praise a certain possession or trait. The researcher seeks to answer the following questions: What are the aspects that might affect the use of different compliment functions, topics and structures? What are the culture-based similarities and differences in the use of compliment responses between the American culture and the British one? What are the most frequently used strategies of compliments and compliment responses in each culture, and why? The results of the study have shown that there are social aspects that can affect the use of compliment functions, topics and structures, such as the social status, social distance and gender of the complimenter and complimentee. The results have also shown that the most commonly used compliment function is 'Admiration' in both American and British sitcoms even though it was hypothesized to be 'reinforcement'.



INTRODUCTION

Compliments and compliment responses play a big part of everyday interactions, since they are considered some of the most used aspects of speech in almost all languages. It is used as a way of expressing an opinion about a certain thing or behaviour, or as icebreakers to start conversations. Compliments can be explained as comments a speaker makes to express his/her admiration of a particular characteristic or possession of another person. According to some linguists, compliments are statements or phrases that are used to make others feel good about themselves.

The data of this study is collected from two TV sitcoms: The Big Bang Theory (American: 2007 - 2019) and The IT Crowd (British: 2006 - 2013). These two sitcoms were specifically selected since both of them are of the same genre, have a very similar storyline and only differ in cultural background. The analysis will be limited to 2 episodes of each and 4 in total. This study aims to identify the use of compliments and compliment responses in everyday life, by offering an extensive theoretical background on the phenomenon compliments, and how they are affected by different cultural norms and values. It also

aims to investigate the types, functions and strategies of compliments and compliment responses that are presented in the two selected TV series. Finally, it aims to differentiate between how people from these two Western cultures perceive and react towards compliments and compliment responses.

THEORETICAL BACKGROUND

For many years, language has been studied from different perspectives. It started with studying language in term of its structure, all the way to its pragmatics, which is concerned with the way language is used in a society. However, the emergence of sociolinguistics shed lighter on the relation between the language and the society that uses it. This can be witnessed, for instance, in the difference of compliments compliment using and responses across different communities, societies and cultures, since each has its own perspective of language that is reflected in its use (Holmes, 1990). In fact, the society and culture are strongly connected with the way different speech acts are used in communication. Therefore, to be able to fully analyze the mechanisms of using compliments and compliment responses, it is necessary to tackle both the pragmatic and the sociolinguistic aspects related to them. The socio-cultural context needs to be taken into account too since

what is positive for one group may not be for another, and there may even be variation across sociocultural groups in terms of "what counts as a compliment" (Wolfson 1981, p. 117).

Sociolinguistics

Hudson (1996, p.4) defines sociolinguistics simply as "the study of language in relation to society". Wardhaugh (2010, p. 12) gives a more detailed definition: "sociolinguistics is concerned with *investigating* the relationship between language and society with the goal being a better understanding of the structure of language and how languages function in communication". Sociolinguists are concerned with why elaborating on people differently in different social environments or contexts, as well as identifying the ways in which language is used to convey a specific social meaning. Hudson (1996) explains that studying speech without refereeing to the society that uses it means not being able to find the social explanations behind using such structures.

Theorizing that all speakers have one style of using language would make it so much easier for many linguists to set strong generalizations about how language works. However, in spite of how intriguing it might seems, there is no such

thing as single-style speakers. Every speaker has his own way or style of using language, hence, there are no two speakers who utilize linguistic items in the exact same way, which leads us to the Variation Theory, which is one of the primary concerns of sociolinguistics (Wardhaugh, 2010). Chambers (2003) defines the Variation Theory as the correlation between have the independent social variables and the dependent linguistic variables. Thus, according to this theory, a sociolinguistic study of any language must focus on the relationship between linguistic factors (such as pronunciation, vocabulary, grammar, etc.) and social factors (such as culture, age, education, ethnicity, etc.) (Wardhaugh, 2010, p.5).

Culture and Communication

In the broad anthropological sense of the word, culture refers to the habitual and menial activities in everyday life that people usually learn since birth, such as greetings, expressing feelings, eating and drinking manners, physical interaction and personal space manners, and so on. In that sense, culture is defined as "the collective programming of the mind which distinguishes the members of one group or category of people from another" (Hofstede 1991, p.5). Minkov (2011) states that in order to differentiate one

culture from another, one must look at the adopted behaviours, beliefs, norms, and values of that culture. This is also seen in Hofstede et al.'s (2010) Cultural Dimensions Theory, in which he views culture as a multilayered concept, just like the skin of an onion. According to him, culture consists of four layers: symbols, heroes, rituals and values, in addition to practices that are connected to the first three layers.

Communication is "the process of transmitting messages or information from one person to another" (Deresky 2008, p.127). It is a cooperative effort used to convey the identity of the speaker to the listener; therefore, communication depends heavily on the shared knowledge between the interlocutors about the subject being discussed. There are two ways communications: verbal communication (such as words, sounds, idioms, grammar, and dialects), and non verbal communication (such as using gestures, express emotions through facial expressions, sending messages through eye contact, and the physical distance between the interlocutors) (Browayes & Price 2008).

Communication differs evidently between cultures, not only in verbal communication, but also in non-

verbal communication. This difference in communication across cultures can be attributed to the variability in sociolinguistic norms and behavioural patterns. Thus, any sort of communication that takes place between people from different cultures may be hindered by the difficulty in knowing what is and what is not appropriate to say, which may result in some sort of misunderstanding, (Chick, 1985).

Cultural Globalization

Over the past few decades, globalization has become an important field of research in most social sciences due to the growing interconnectedness of social, cultural and economic phenomena across communities, societies, and nations. Globalization in general is defined by Giddens (1990,p.64)as "the of intensification worldwide social relations, which link distant localities in such a way that local happenings are shaped by events occurring many miles away and vice versa". Later on, Held (as quoted in Guibernau, 2001, p.427) put it in a more simple way as "the stretching of connections, relations, and networks between human communities".

Cultural globalization refers to the cultural flows among nations and

countries in a way that transcends national This boundaries. cross-cultural denotes the increasing transaction of people's ideas, values, believes, traditions, and way of living (U.N. Report, 1999). In the same sense, Castells (2009, p. 117) defines cultural globalisation as "the emergence of a specific set of values and beliefs that are largely shared around the planet". According to David (2002), people usually tend to accept and embrace the differences of values and cultural norms of each community due to its importance in maintaining the solidarity and harmony between cultures.

Pragmatics

Pragmatics is a linguistic framework originated in late 1930s by Morris, Carnap, and Peirce, who define it as understanding the meaning of signs to their users and interpreters (Morris 1938). Crystal (2008, p.379) defines pragmatics as "the study of language from the point of view of the users, especially of the choices they make, the constraints they encounter in using language in social interaction, and the effects their use of language has on the participants other in an act communication." Therefore, pragmatics goes beyond the dictionary meaning and deals with the actual meaning of a certain utterance based on the context or the

norms of the society in which it takes place (Yule, 1996).

Being able to use language to perform actions as well as to comprehend and understand the actual purpose of the language used is called having a pragmatic competence. Leech (1983) subdivides the pragmatic competence, which he refers to as general pragmatics, into two main categories. On the one hand. pragmalinguistics, which reflects the knowledge of the linguistic forms as well as the pragmatic strategies that are often used to convey meaning, for example, realizing the pragmatic strategies of speech acts by using the linguistic forms required for such strategies. On the other hand, sociopragmatics, which refers to the knowledge of using these strategies and forms in an appropriate social contexts relevant to the participants, for example, the relationship between using certain speech acts strategies and forms and the difference in the social distance or social status/power between the participants, (Kasper and Roever, 2005).

Sociopragmatics

Leech (1983) is one of the first linguists to account for sociopragmatics as an essential aspect of general pragmatics. According to him, general pragmatics is concerned with "the general conditions of the

communicative use of language" and is divided into pragmalinguistics, which is concerned with "the particular resources which a given language provides for conveying particular illocutions"; and sociopragmatics, which focuses on "specific 'local' conditions on language use" (1983, pp. 10-11).

Nevalainen and Raumolin-Brunberg (2003) point out that the three levels of context mentioned above can be seen as parallel to the three area of sociolinguistics: interactional sociolinguistics, social dialectology, and the sociology of language. One of the best examples to show how sociolinguistics and sociopragmatics often overlap is the Politeness Theory by Brown and Levinson (1987) which is often cited in pragmatic works but was originally published within a sociolinguistic interactional series.

Speech Acts Theory

Gibbs (1999) states that the functions of utterances in interpersonal communications were tackled for the first time by Austin, there for it is generally believed that J. L. Austin is the initiator of the Speech Acts Theory. According to Thomas (1995), Austin believes that language is used not only for expressions, but also to perform actions. In his book 'How to Do Things with Words', Austin

criticizes the common claim that "the business of a [sentence] can only be to 'describe' some state of affairs, or to 'state some fact', which it must do either truly or falsely" (1962, p. 1).

According to Austin (1962), speech acts include three different levels of action: first is the locutionary act, which can be defined as the act of uttering something. Second is the illocutionary act, which is connected to the force that a performative utterance has, such "promising" or "apologizing". Third is the perlocutionary act, which focuses on how the listener is effected by an illocutionary while to identify act trying illocutionary intention of the speaker. A more comprehensive taxonomy of speech acts is presented by Searle's (1979) classification system in which he lists five illocutionary functions that can be performed by speech acts: assertives, commissives, directives, declarations and expressives.

Compliments: A Working Definition

Holmes (1986, p. 485) defines a compliment as "a speech act which explicitly or implicitly attributes credit to someone other than the speaker, usually the person addressed, for some good (possession, characteristic, skill, etc.) which is positively valued by the speaker

and the hearer". Newton and Burgoon (1990, p. 509) explain that compliments are "statements that are intended to make the other feel good about himself/herself". So generally, compliments are considered as a type of speech acts, which enables the speaker to express a positive attitude or express his/her admiration of a particular characteristic or possession of another person, such as the complimentee's appearance, behaviour, manner, skill, etc. (Wolfson 1981).

compliments Although are widely considered as expressions of "positive evaluation" to the addressee (Wolfson 1981, p. 120), determining what exactly a 'positive evaluation' is can be problematic. Holmes (1995)points out compliments pose a challenge as they could be perceived as ironic, offensive, sarcastic, patronizing, or even as putdowns. Some may consider giving a compliment as a face-threatening act because it leads to "the compliment's debt" (Holmes, 1986, p. 487), where the receiver of the compliment may feel obligated to return the compliment. Some compliments that appear to be direct in terms of their lexis and structure may not function as such in a given context, making context an integral part of interpreting compliments (cf. Boyle 2000, Sifianou 2001, Maíz-Arévalo 2010).

Compliment Responses

Pomerantz (1978) is the first to discuss compliment responses from conversational perspective. analytic According to her, when trying to respond to compliments, people face two problems: (1) they feel obliged to agree with what the speaker is saying, and (2) they try avoiding praising themselves (1978). These two problems are also seen in the light of Leech's (1983) two maxims of politeness: Maxim "Minimize Agreement disagreement between self and other; maximize agreement between self and other" and the Modesty Maxim, "Minimize praise of self; maximize dispraise of self" (Leech, 1983, p. 32). Urano (1998) explains these problems further by stating that when a complimentee responds by agreeing with the complimenter as in the first maxim, he will most likely violate the second maxim by appearing as praising himself, and vice versa.

To solve this problem, **Pomerantz** suggests using several strategies, which she refers to as 'shifts'. For example, the 'evaluation shift', in which the addressee downgrades the praise; the 'referent shift', in which the addressee shifts the object of the praise by trying to praise someone other than himself, and 'returns', in which the addressee returns the compliment back to

the speaker. By using these strategies, the addressee can indirectly accept/agree with the compliment and keep his self-praise minimal, thus satisfying both the Modesty Maxim and the Agreement Maxim. Herbert (1986) cites a quote from an American etiquette book saying:

When you are complimented, the only response necessary is 'Thank you.' Don't disparage yourself. If someone admires your dress, don't say, 'This old thing, I got it at a bargain sale.' A simple thank you is sufficient. Johnson (1979, pp. 43-44), cited in Herbert (1986, p. 76)

MODELS OF ANALYSIS

The study will be conducted using an eclectic model based on several models, namely: Holmes' (1988a) "Model of Compliment Functions" for the sake of analyzing the function of the sentence; Manes and Wolfson's (1981) "Model of Compliment Topics" for the sake of analyzing the topics; Manes and Wolfson's (1981) "Model of Compliment Syntactic Structure" for the sake of analyzing the structure of the sentence; and Holmes' (1995) "Model of Compliment Responses Strategies" for the sake of analyzing the strategies used.

Holmes' (1988a) Model of Compliment Functions

Although compliments are considered as positive politeness strategies used for supporting the complimentee's face, they can sometimes also be used as face-threatening negative strategies (Manno, 2005). So, between the positive and negative uses of compliments, there are several functions, such as (Holmes, 1988a):

1) Encouragement or Reinforcement 2) Praise and Admiration 3) Patronizing and Flattery 4) Sarcasm or Irony 5) Envy.

Manes and Wolfson's (1981) Model of Compliment Topics

A research by Manes and Wolfson (1981) show that there are some common topics in compliments, such as: 1) Appearance: Includes compliments on a person's looks or an overall impression, e.g. 'hair', 'figure', 2) 'smile', 'eyes', etc.. Includes **Ability/Performance:** compliments on the ability to solve problems, pass an exam, play some instrument, dance, organize a party, draw, drive a car, sing, etc.. 3) Possessions: Includes compliments that mostly refer to things people wear: sweaters, trousers, shoes, coats, glasses, earrings, etc. 4) **Personality/Friendship:** Includes

compliments that are connected with the positive personal character. 5) **Other**: Includes compliments which did not clearly refer to any of the above-mentioned topics or might refer to more than one, for example, complimenting a certain action or event.

Manes and Wolfson's (1981) Model of Compliment Syntactic Structures

There is an infinite number of words that could be chosen to give a compliment, but the set of lexical items and grammatical patterns people use in their daily interaction when complimenting and have high frequency in their daily discourse can

be narrowed down. According to Wolfson (1986), two-thirds of English compliments use the adjectives "nice, good, beautiful, pretty, great", and 90% make use of just two verbs "like and love" (p.116). Compliments in society are formulas just like greetings, thanking, and apologies, therefore, they have a narrow, but not limited, number of syntactic patterns (Manes and Wolfson, 1981).

Manes and Wolfson (1981: 120) study the corpus of 686 naturally occurring compliments in American English, and find out that the majority of their corpus belonged to one of the following structures:

No.	Syntactic Structure	Example
1.	NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ	Your hair looks nice
2.	I (really) {like, love} NP	I love your hair
3.	PRO is (really) (a) ADJ NP	That was really a great meal
4.	You V (a) (really) ADJ NP	You did a good job
5.	You V (NP) (really) ADV	You really handled that situation well
6.	You have (a) (really) ADJ NP	You have such beautiful hair
7.	What (a) (ADJ) NP!	What a lovely baby you have!
8.	ADJ (NP)!	Nice game!
9.	Isn't NP ADJ!	Isn't your ring beautiful!

Holmes' (1995) Model of Compliment Responses Strategies

According to Holmes (1995), in English-speaking societies "thank you" is considered as the appropriate response to a compliment. However, there are other responses that can be classified into three general categories: agreements, non-agreements and evasions.

Agreements include six subtypes of responses: 1) Appreciation Tokens, 2) Comment Agreements, 3) Praise Upgrades, 4) Comment History, 5) Praise Downgrades, 6) Return. Non-agreements include five subtypes of response: 1) Scale Down, 2) Question, 3) Disagreement, 4) Qualification, 5) No Acknowledgement. Evasions includes four subtypes: 1) Shift credit, 2) Informative comment, 3) Ignore, 4) Request reassurance.

DATA COLLECTION

The data is taken from two sitcoms, one American and one British. The Big Bang Theory is an American sitcom created and produced by Chuck Lorre and Bill Prady. It was premiered on CBS on September 24, 2007, and concluded on May 16, 2019; having broadcast over 12 seasons a total of 279 episodes, making it the most ever for a studio sitcom. The IT Crowd is a British sitcom written by Graham Linehan and

produced by Ash Atalla. It was premiered on Channel 4 on February 3, 2006, lasted for four seasons, each containing six episodes making a total of 24 episodes, and was concluded with a special one-off episode on 27 September 2013.

The researcher takes her data from 4 episodes, 2 from each show. From the IT Crowd, the researcher takes Episode 1 of Season 1 (The Pilot) and Episode 1 of Season 12 (The Conjugal Configuration). From the Big Bang Theory, the researcher takes Episode 1 of Season 1 (Yesterday's Jam) and Episode 1 of Season 1 (Yesterday's Jam) and Episode 1 of Season 4 (Jen the Fredo). The purpose behind taking the first episode of the first and last season in both sitcoms is to investigate the beginning and the final events of the sitcoms, making it more comprehensive.

DATA ANALYSIS

After watching the two sitcoms and reading the transcript, the researcher proceeds to take the utterances that contain the compliment/compliment response to analyze it according to the eclectic model. The analysis is done in the form of a table that consists of four columns. The first is the number of the utterance. The second is the utterances containing the compliment/compliment responses, which are underlined and written in italics. The third is the analysis, which includes the

compliment structure/topic/function and the compliment response type. The fourth is the explanation, in which the researcher will give a background of the encounter, the setting in which it takes place, and the relationship between the interlocutors.

The American Sitcom (The Big Bang Theory) Episode 1 of Season 1 (The Pilot)

No.	Utterance	Analysis	Explanation
1	Leonard: What, are you kidding? You're a semi-pro. Sheldon: No. We are committing genetic fraud. There's no guarantee that our sperm is going to generate high IQ offspring, think about that. I have a sister with the same basic DNA mix who hostesses at Fuddruckers.	Compliment - Structure: NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ - Topic: Ability - Function: Reinforcement Compliment Response - Type: Non-agreement/ Disagreement	The context: Leonard and Sheldon were about to donate semen to a 'high IQ semen bank' but Sheldon is having second thoughts The relationship: Best friends
2	Leonard: Significant improvement over the old neighbour. Sheldon: Two hundred pound transvestite with a skin condition, yes she is.	- Structure: Other - Topic: Appearance - Function: Admiration Compliment Response - Type: None	The Context: Leonard and Sheldon meet their new neighbour Penny for the first time. The Relationship: New neighbours.
3	Penny: Oh, that's nice. Leonard: Oh uh no we don't live together um we live together but in separate,	- Structure: NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ - Topic: Other - Function: Admiration	The Context: Leonard and Sheldon introduce themselves as the two guys living together next door and Penny compliments that

4	Penny: Oh, that's so nice, I'd love to. Leonard: Great.	Compliment Response - Type: Non-agreement/ Qualification Compliment - Structure: NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ - Topic: Other (they invited her to eat together) - Function: Appreciation	thinking they are in a relationship. The Relationship: New neighbours The Context: Leonard invites Penny for lunch with them at their apartment. The Relationship: New neighbours
		Compliment Response - Type: Agreement/ Appreciation tokens	
5	Penny: This looks like some serious stuff, Leonard, did you do this? Sheldon: Actually that's my work.	Compliment - Structure: NP {is, looks}	The Context: Penny is impressed by the board filled with scientific stuff. The Relationship: New neighbours
6	Penny: Wow! Sheldon: Yeah, well, it's just some quantum mechanics, with a little string theory doodling around the edges. That part there, that's just a joke, it's a spoof of the Bourne- Oppenheimer approximation.	Compliment - Structure: Other - Topic: Performance/ ability - Function: Admiration Compliment Response - Type: Agreement/ Praise downgrade	The Context: Penny is impressed by Sheldon's work. The Relationship: New neighbours

7	Penny: This is really	Compliment	The Context:
	impressive. Sheldon: (Smiles)	 Structure: NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ Topic: Performance/ ability Function: Admiration Compliment Response 	Penny is impressed by Sheldon's work. The Relationship: New neighbours
	Leonard: I have a board. If you like boards, this is my board.	- Type: Agreement/ Non-verbal appreciation tokens (smiling)	
8	Leonard: Well this is nice. We don't have a lot of company over.	- Structure: NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ - Topic: Other (the	The Context: Leonard is happy with having Penny over in their apartment.
	Penny: (Smiles)	situation) - Function: Appreciation Compliment Response	The Relationship: New neighbours
	Sheldon: That's not true. Koothrapali and Wolowitz come over all the time.	- Type: Agreement/ Non- verbal appreciation tokens (Smiling)	
9	Leonard: Well, if that was a movie I would love to go see it.	- Structure: Other - Topic: Ability - Function: Reinforcement	The Context: Penny tells Leonard and Sheldon the script she is writing.
	Penny: <u>I know, right?</u> Okay, let's see, what else? Um, that's about it. That's the story of Penny.	- Type: Agreement/ Praise upgrade	The Relationship: New neighbours
10	Penny: Thanks. <u>You guys are</u> really sweet. Howard & Sheldon: <u>(Smile)</u>	Compliment - Structure: NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ - Topic: Personality - Function: Praise Compliment Response	The Context: Penny tells Leonard and Sheldon that her shower is broken and they offer that she use theirs. The Relationship: New

		- Type: Agreement/ Non-verbal appreciation tokens (smiling)	neighbours
11	Howard: Wait till you see this. It's fantastic. Unbelievable. Leonard: See what? Howard: It's a Stephen Hawking lecture from MIT in 1974.	Compliment - Structure: NP {is, looks}	The Context: Howard wants to show Leonard something exciting that he and Raj got. The Relationship: None
12	Leonard: <i>That's great</i> , you guys have to go. Raj: <i>Why?</i>	Compliment - Structure: NP {is, looks}	The Context: Leonard expresses his admiration with Howard's tape. The Relationship: Best friends
13	Sheldon: It's just a privilege to watch your mind at work. Leonard: Come on, we have a combined IQ of 360, we should be able to figure out how to get into a stupid building, right?	Compliment - Structure: PRO is (really) (a) ADJ NP - Topic: Performance - Function: Sarcasm Compliment Response - Type: Evasion/ Request reassurance	The Context: Leonard and Sheldon try to figure a way to get into Penny's Ex's apartment complex. The Relationship: Best friends
14	Howard: This is one of my favourite places to kick back after a quest, <i>they have a great house ale.</i>	- Structure: PRO have (a) (really) ADJ NP - Topic: Possession - Function: Admiration	The Context: Howard is showing Penny a game he plays and is complimenting it. The Relationship:

	Penny: Wow <u>.</u>	Compliment Response - Type: None	None
15	Penny: cool tiger. Howard: Yeah, I've had him since level ten. His name is Buttons. Anyway, if you had your own game character we could hang out, maybe go on a quest.	Compliment - Structure: PRO is (really) (a) ADJ NP - Topic: Possession - Function: Admiration Compliment Response Type: Agreement/ Comment agreement	The Context: Penny is complimenting Howard on the game that he is showing her. The Relationship: New acquaintances
16	Penny: Uh, sounds interesting. Howard: So you'll think about it? Penny: Oh, I don't think I'll be able to stop thinking about it.	Compliment - Structure: NP {is, looks}	The Context: Penny is complimenting Howard on the game that he is showing her. The Relationship: New acquaintances
17	Penny: Really, thank you so much for going and trying you're, uh, <i>you're so terrific</i> . Why don't you put some clothes on, I'll get my purse and dinner is on me, okay?	Compliment - Structure: NP {is, looks}	The Context: Penny is grateful for Leonard and Sheldon for going to her ex's house to try and get her TV back The Relationship: New neighbours
18	Leonard: Our babies will be smart and beautiful. Sheldon: Not to mention	- Structure: NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ - Topic: Appearance &	The Context: Leonard fantasizing about marrying Penny and telling Sheldon about it.

	imaginary.	- Co	ability Function: Admiration ompliment Response Type: None	The Relationship: New neighbours
19	Penny: That sounds really fun. Howard (sings): Baby, baby don't get hooked on me. Uh, baby, baby don't get hooked on me.	-	Structure: NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ Topic: Other (a place with karaoke) Function: Reinforcement ompliment Response Type: Agreement/ Nonverbal appreciation tokens (smirking)	is in the car debating where to go to for dinner and Howard suggests a place with a karaoke. The Relationship: New acquaintances

Episode 1 of Season 12 (The Conjugal Configuration)

No.	Utterance	Analysis	
20	Raj: So, something pretty cool happened. Channel asked me to be on the news tomorrow night to talk about the meteor shower. Leonard: Oh, that's great. Raj: (nods)	Compliment - Structure: NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ - Topic: Achievement - Function: Admiration Compliment Response - Type: Agreement/ Non- verbal appreciation tokens (nodding)	The Context: Raj is asked to be on TV and she is telling his friends all about it. The Relationship: Best friends
21	Bernadette: Man, that is one hot weather girl. Howard: How come if I say that I get in trouble?	- Structure: PRO is (really) (a) ADJ NP - Topic: Appearance - Function: Envy	The Context: Berny and Howard are watching the news to see their friend Raj. Berny compliments the weather girl.

		- Type: None	The Relationship: TV Worker/ Viewer
22	Raj: Oh, no, I love Neil. I mean, not as much as Neil loves Neil, but who does, right? The host: Oh, you know, I'm told we are out of time, (chuckles) having learned nothing about meteor showers and too much about Dr. Koothrappali. Thank you. Sheldon: Do you know what I love about Broadway	Compliment - Structure: I (really) {like, love} NP - Topic: Personality - Function: Sarcasm Compliment Response - Type: None	The Context: Raj ranting about his rivalry with Neil to the interviewer. The Relationship: An esteemed scientist/ a young scientist (different social ranks) The Context: Sheldon and Amy are on their
	theater? <i>It's so interactive</i> . Amy: Uh-huh.	 Structure: NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ Topic: Other Function: Admiration Compliment Response Type: None 	and Amy are on their honeymoon. Sheldon is expressing his admiration to theaters to Amy. The Relationship: None
24	Dr. Tyson: "Nice try, genius. The skin is epithelial tissue". Raj: Oh, it's on. He's Katy, I'm T-Swift.	- Structure: ADJ (NP)! - Topic: Performance - Function: Sarcasm Compliment Response - Type: Evasion/ Ignore	The Context: Raj is reading Dr. Tyson's tweet for him after he dragged him down on national TV. The Relationship: An esteemed scientist/ a young scientist (different social ranks)

25	Sheldon: Of course they're	Compliment	The Context: Sheldon
	listening; we're interesting.	*	and Amy are having an
	Unlike that guy.	- Structure : NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ	argument in the streets
			and people are listening
		- Topic : Personality	to them.
	Amy: I-I'm walking away	- Function : Praise	
	from you.	Compliment Response	The Relationship:
	Hom you.	- Type: Evasion/ Ignore	Newlyweds
26	Leonard: It's not true.	Compliment	The Context: Leonard
20	(stammers) Mrs. Fowler is an	Compliment	
		- Structure : NP {is, looks}	mistakenly compares
	angry, vindictive woman,	(really) ADJ	Penny to Mrs. Fowler,
	whereas <u>you are warm and-</u>	- Topic: personality	bring moody and
	and loving, quick to forgive.	- Function: Flattery	hardhearted. Then he
		Compliment Response	tries to take it back by
			complimenting her.
	Penny: Oh, please.	- Type: Non-agreement/	The Relationship:
		Disagreement	Married couple
27	Mrs. Fowler: He said I'm	Compliment	The Context: Penny is
	overbearing!	Standard Oil	trying to console Mrs.
		- Structure: Other	Fowler after her
		- Topic: Personality	argument with her
	Penny: Oh, please, <u>you're</u>	- Function: Flattery	husband Mr. Fowler
\	just the right amount of	Compliment Response	
	bearing. Look, I know he	- Type: Evasion/ request	The Relationship:
	loves you. If you just give	reassurance	Friend's parent
	him some space, I'm sure		
	he'll come back.		
	Mas Familia V		
	Mrs. Fowler: <u>You really</u>		
	think so?	IDEEL	
	Penny: Yeah, I do.	VK551	
	,		

28	Mrs. Fowler: You're a good person, Penny. I hope we get to spend lots of time together. Penny: (Smiling) Me, too.	Compliment - Structure: PRO is (really) (a) ADJ NP - Topic: Personality - Function: Praise Compliment Response - Type: Agreement/ Non-	The Context: Penny is trying to console Mrs. Fowler after her argument with her husband Mr. Fowler The Relationship: Friend's parent
29	Amy: Sheldon, <u>I could never</u> <u>be with anybody but you.</u>	verbal appreciation tokens (Smiling) Compliment	The Context: Sheldon is trying to fix things up
	Sheldon: <u>That's good to</u> <u>know.</u> I wouldn't want to	 Structure: Other Topic: Personality Function: Praise Compliment Response 	with Amy. The Relationship: Newlyweds
	fight a man who's brave enough to touch a fish.	- Type: Agreement/ comment agreement	
30	Raj: Uh, w-wow. (chuckles) How fun is this Twitter thing, huh? Dr. Tyson: You think you're funny?	Compliment - Structure: Other - Topic: Other - Function: Irony Compliment Response - Type: None	The Context: Dr. Tyson calls Raj to scold him about all the drama he has been making on twitter. The Relationship: None
31	Dr. Tyson: <u>Smart move</u> , and the next time you pick up your phone, remember, I'm the guy who kicked Pluto out of the solar system . Raj: <u>And it deserved it, sir.</u> <u>Thank you. Bye-bye.</u>	- Structure: ADJ (NP)! - Topic: Performance - Function: Patronizing Compliment Response - Type: Agreement/ Comment Agreement	The Context: Dr. Tyson calls Raj to scold him about all the drama he has been making on twitter. The Relationship: An esteemed scientist/ a young scientist (different social ranks)

The British Sitcom (The IT Crowd)

Episode 1 of Season 1 (The Pilot)

No.	Utterance	Analysis	Explanation
32	Denholm: Well, you certainly seem to know your stuff. That's settled. I've got a good feeling about you Jen and they need a new manager. Jen: Fantastic, so the people I'll be working with, what are they like? Jen: Oh my god! look at that view! I feel like I'm on top of the world. (lift bell sounds)	Compliment - Structure: Other - Topic: Ability - Function: Praise Compliment Response - Type: Agreement/ Appreciation Tokens Compliment - Structure: ADJ (NP)! - Topic: Other - Function: Admiration Compliment Response	The Context: Denholm is interviewing Jen for her new Job. The Relationship: Employer/Employee The Context: Denholm is showing Jen the company building and Jen is impressed. The Relationship: None
34	Jen: Yeah, Denholm. Yeah, yeah, no n-n-n-no, no they've been fine so far. Yeah, I think we're going to get on great. Yeah I know, alright then, no you take care, alright, yeah I will, yeah, okay take care, take care, okay bye, bye. So, hello, what can I do you for what can I do for you?	- Type: None Compliment - Structure: NP {is, looks}	The Context: Jen is pretending to be on a call with the company's president, Denholm, complimenting Moss and Roy in front of them. The Relationship: Manager/Employee

35	Roy: Oh no! We are getting	Compliment	The Context: Roy and
	on like a big house on fire.		Moss are lying to
	on tike a big nouse on fire.	- Structure : Other	Denholm about their
	Denholm: (Nods)	- Topic : Performance	
		- Function: Sarcasm	relationship with the new
		Compliment Response	manager, Jen, in order not
		oompanion itspons	to lose their job.
		- Type: Agreement/ Non-	The Deletionshin.
		verbal appreciation tokens	The Relationship:
		(nodding)	Employer/ Employee
36	Roy: Errmmm. We set up a	Compliment	The Context: Roy lies
	voice activation system on		about the reason they are
	your computer. Err I think	- Structure: Other	in Denholm's office
		- Topic: Performance	
	you're gonna enjoy it. It	- Function: Appreciation	saying that they set up a
	might just take a little while	Compliment Response	new system, which makes
	to get the pitch right on the	2000	Denholm happy.
	voice but none the less go	- Type: None	The Relationship:
	ahead. Thank you very		
	much, bye bye.		Employer/ Employee
	Denholm: Thank you. <u>How</u>		
	exciting!		
37	Jen: Oh my God. Are those	Compliment	The Context: Laura is in
	Manolo's?		the IT department beating
		- Structure: Other	up Roy which her shoes
		- Topic : Possession	for disrespecting her over
	Laura: Oh, yes.	- Function: Admiration	the phone. Jen admires
	Laura. On, yes.	Compliment Response	her shoes.
			ner snoes.
		- Type: Agreement/	The Relationship: New
		Comment agreement	acquaintances
		14551	
38	Jen: They are gorgeous.	Compliment	The Context: Laura is in
	Were they expensive?		the IT department beating
		- Structure : NP {is, looks}	up Roy which her shoes
		(really) ADJ	for disrespecting her over
	Lours No C. C.	- Topic : Possession	the phone. Jen admires
	Laura: No, no, no. Got them	- Function : Admiration	her shoes.
	in the sale.	Compliment Response	Her SHOES.

		- Type: Agreement/ Praise downgrade	The Relationship: New acquaintances
39	Jen: Oh God, clever you. I'm never lucky enough to get a bargain like that. Laura: Well, I should take you shopping.	- Structure: ADJ (NP)! - Topic: Ability/performance - Function: Envy Compliment Response - Type: Agreement/ Comment agreement	The Context: Laura is in the IT department beating up Roy which her shoes for disrespecting her over the phone. Jen admires her shoes. The Relationship: New acquaintances
40	Jen: Yeah, no that would be fantastic. Yeah, <u>it was nice</u> <u>to meet you</u> . Laura: <u>Nice meeting you</u> .	Compliment - Structure: PRO is (really) (a) ADJ NP - Topic: Other - Function: Flattery Compliment Response - Type: Return	The Context: Laura is in the IT department beating up Roy which her shoes for disrespecting her over the phone. Jen admires her shoes. The Relationship: New acquaintances
41	Moss: Chairman wow! You've just diffused that entire situation. Jen: What situation? Oh that, yeah. Oh my God, does that happen a lot? Moss: They're fairly regular the beatings, yes. I'd say we're on a bi-weekly beating.	Compliment - Structure: Other - Topic: Performance - Function: Admiration Compliment Response - Type: Non-agreement/ Question	The Context: Moss is impressed by the way Jen calmed Lauren and ended the fight. The Relationship: Manager/ Employee

43	Office girl: I don't know why we don't go out with you IT guys more often. You're hilarious! Roy: Yes, we are! Roy: Moss! Moss! Come here, come here. Tell them that story about when we were drunk in Amsterdam. Moss: Really? Roy: Yeah, yeah. Oh It's brilliant, this is a great story, you're gonna love it. I'm just gonna get another box of wine. Moss: All right.	Compliment - Structure: NP {is, looks}	The Context: Jen manages to set a party in the IT department inviting all company employees in order for Roy and Moss to have a social interaction. The Relationship: Co- workers The Context: Roy askes Moss to tell everyone about the Amsterdam story. The Relationship: None
44	Moss: Well. One night we really drunk, and err we were so drunk that we decided to hire prostitutes. But when they arrived at the hotel we were too scared to do anything so we brought them to a fair. <i>They were really nice</i> . In the end they only charged us half but we did have to pay for all the	Compliment - Structure: NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ - Topic: Personality - Function: Praise Compliment Response - Type: None	The Context: Moss goes on telling the wrong story to everyone, while Roy is at the back bringing more beer. The Relationship: co-workers

	rides.		
45	Roy: Haha! Oh isn't that just	Compliment	The Context: Roy comes
	the best story.		back still excited about
	ine oest story.	- Structure : Isn't NP ADJ!	the story, but notices that
		- Topic: Other	everyone is staring at
		- Function: Admiration	
	Moss: But you told me to	Compliment Response	them awkwardly.
	never tell that story to		
	anyone.	- Type: Non-agreement/	
		Qualification	The Relationship:
			Friends
46	Roy: What are you talking	Compliment	The Context: Roy
	about? <i>That's a brilliant</i>	F	realizes that Moss must
	story and then you walked	- Structure: PRO is (really)	have told the wrong story
	into the canal. Has it got to	(a) ADJ NP	to everyone.
	that part with the boat man?	- Topic: Other	
		- Function: Praise	The Relationship: None
		Compliment Response	
	Moss: Oh, you mean the	- Type: None	
	story where I lost my	Type: Hone	
	glasses?		
	glasses.		
47	M. T. T.	Complement	The Contest D
47	Moss: <u>That was a nice day</u>	Compliment	The Context: Roy, Moss
	at the fair, wasn't it. With	- Structure : PRO is (really)	and Jen are back sitting
	Brandy and Crystal.	(a) ADJ NP	alone in the IT
	(phone rings)	- Topic: Other	department, while Moss
		- Function: Admiration	still remembering the
		Compliment Response	story he told.
		- Type: None	The Relationship: None

Episode 1 of Season 4 (Jen the Fredo)

No.	Utterance	Analysis	
48	Jen: <i>lit is quite mysterious</i> .	Compliment	The Context: Jen enters the IT department on
	Moss: <u>I was hoping for</u> <u>ruddy mysterious</u> .	 Structure: NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ Topic: Other Function: Admiration Compliment Response 	Moss, who asks her opinion on the music he made for his role-playing game. The Relationship:
	Jen: <u>It's fairly mysterious</u> .	- Type: Evasion/ Request assurance	Friends
49	Moss: a post has opened up for Entertainments Manager.	- Structure: NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ	The Context: Jen thinks about applying for a new post in the company.
	Jen: <u>That sounds great</u> . I could do that. Better than	- Topic: Other - Function: Encouragement Compliment Response	The Relationship: Friends
	whatever this job is.	- Type: Non-agreement/ Disagreement	
50	Moss: It's not for you, Jen	Compliment	The Context: Roy, still
30	Jen: You do seem better than yesterday. But Roy, you've got	- Structure: NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ	heartbroken after his break up with his girlfriend, finally shows up at work.
	to snap out of this. Roy: I know, I know.	Topic: AppearanceFunction: ReinforcementCompliment Response	The Relationship: Friends
51	Douglas: <u>Jen may look like</u>	- Type: Evasion/ Ignore Compliment	The Context: Jen meets
	an old maid holding pennies in her arse, but she knows the sleaziest routes round this old town I like to call Londinius. And I'm	 Structure: NP V (a) (really) ADJ NP Topic: Ability/ performance 	the businessmen that she is responsible to entertain for the week. Denholm compliments her in front

	sure she's planned	- Function : Patronizing	of them.
		_	of them.
	something suitably raucous	Compliment Response	The Relationship:
	for you reprobates.	- Type: Agreement/	Employer/Employee
		Comment agreement	Zimprojen Zimprojee
		Comment agreement	
	Jen: Oh, yes, yes, I have		
	tickets for a wonderful		
	show in the West End.		
52	Phill: What happened to	Compliment	The Context: Pill, one of
	Gerry?		the businessmen is asking
		- Struct <mark>ure: ADJ (NP)</mark> !	about the last guy before
		- Topic : Other	
		- Function: sarcasm	Jen.
	Douglas: His heart	Compliment Response	The Relationship:
	exploded.	Compliment Response	
		- Type: Non-agreement/	Business relationship
		Disagreement	
		Disagreement	
	Phil: Brilliant!		
	Douglas: No, he's quite		
	seriously ill.		
\	<u>seriousty tit.</u>		
53	Roy: I'm sorry, I just came	Compliment	The Context: Jen brings
	out of a long term		Phill and the other
	relationship, soIt	- Structure: PRO is (really)	businessmen to the IT
		(a) ADJ NP	
	doesn't She was the	- Topic : Personality	department hoping they
	greatest woman I've ever	- Function: Admiration	will be entertained by
	<u>met</u> and I'm never going to		playing Moss's
	see her again. But it's fine, I	Compliment Response	roleplaying game. Roy,
	mean, she never said	- Type: None	being all gloomy and sad,
	goodbye. I'm not going to	1 Jpc. Polic	tells them about his
	bore you with it.	LH331	breakup
	Dole you will it.		отсакир
			The Relationship: Ex
			lovers
	Phil: See then you bloody		107015
	don't!		
1	1		1

54	Jen: Oh, come on, Phil! These guys are the best. They're going to do things tonight that'll blow your mind. Phil: Really?	- Structure: NP {is, looks}	The Context: Jen brings Phill and the other businessmen to the IT department hoping they will be entertained by playing Moss's roleplaying game. The Relationship: Friends
55	Woman: No, we all make mistakes. And you intrigue me. Something tells me there's more to you than meets the eye. Douglas: Do you mean the rumours that I killed my wife? Woman: No. Are there rumours?	Compliment - Structure: Other - Topic: Personality - Function: Praise Compliment Response - Type: Non-agreement/ Question	The Context: Denholm sleeps with one of the women from Woman Affairs to make them withdraw the 'shithead award' they gave him. The Relationship: Employer/ Employee
56	Moss: You look well. Roy: So do you Phil: Brilliant night!	Compliment - Structure: NP {is, looks}	The Context: Moss, Roy and the businessmen all play the game. Moss pretends to be Roy's exgirlfriend in order to give him closure. The Relationship: Best friends The Context: Phil and the
	Brilliant! I can't believe I've never tried role- playing games before. I'm going to go home and tell	Structure: ADJ (NP)!Topic: OtherFunction: Praise	with the game and the whole night at the IT

	the whole rugby club about	Compliment Response	department
	it. Jen: Roy and Moss did not disappoint? Phil: They did not. I'd like to see them again.	- Type: Evasion/ Request reassurance	The Relationship: Businessmen/ Hostess
	to see them again.		
58	Phil: That's one special little lady you've got there. I'm glad Jerry died. Jen: (Smiling)	- Structure: PRO is (really) (a) ADJ NP - Topic: Ability/ performance	The Context: Phil and the businessmen are happy with the game and the whole night at the IT department
		 Function: Praise Compliment Response Type: Agreement/ Nonverbal appreciation tokens (smiling) 	The Relationship: Businessmen/ Hostess

ASSESSMENT AND FINDINGS DISCUSSION

After looking at the results above, several things can be noticed that the majority of compliment functions in both American and British sitcoms is 'Praise/Admiration'. However, the second to most used function in American is 'Encouragement/Reinforcement', and in British is 'Patronizing/Flattery'. On the other hand, the least used function in both sitcoms is Envy.

In both American and British sitcoms, it is noticed that the total number of topics is

more than the total number of compliments. That is because some compliments has more than one topic. It is also noticed that the majority of compliments given to females are on appearance.

For some compliments, the researcher put 'None' in the compliment responses tap, and that is for one of two reasons. First is that the complimentee (subject compliment) is not present at interaction; therefore, no compliment response is given. Second, is that the subject of compliment is an inanimate

object, thus, a 'None' is put in the 'Relationship' tap as well. Such compliments are used in interactions as icebreakers or as a way to open the channel of conversation.

The majority of interactions in the data under analysis are multi-party interactions, which means that there are more than two parties involved. Therefore, there are a lot of 'second compliments' or 'second assessments' in the data. These second compliments or assessments usually show the agreement or the disagreement of a third party with the compliment given.

Praise upgrades are rarely used, since they violate the second maxim of the two compliment responses maxims (Agreement Maxim and Modesty Maxim) by appearing as praising oneself. While 'Sarcasm' is only used between well acquainted interlocutors, like best friends, family members or couples.

CONCLUSIONS

Based on the abovementioned results, it is concluded that one of the major aspects that affect compliment functions, is the social distance between the complimenter and the complimentee. For instance, in utterances where the complimenter is of a than lower social position the complimentee the function used is 'Flattery'. Flattery is usually insincere and ingenuine and used to gain the favour of the complimentee. In revered positions, however, where the complimenter is of a higher social position than complimentee the function used 'patronizing'. Patronizing is often used to assert dominance. Another example that shows the effect of social distance on the use of different functions is in utterances 'sarcasm'. where they use In these utterances, the complimenter and complimentee are close friends or married couple, which means that there is no social distance between them.

It is also noticed that the gender of the complimenter/complimentee has a great effect on the use of different topics. For instance, most compliments given/received by females are on 'appearance', while the majority of compliments given/received by males are on 'ability/performance'. Furthermore, in regards to compliment structures, the results show that women tend to use words (such as love, like) that are linguistically stronger than men do and that women use intensifiers (such as really, very) more than men do.

In both cultures American and British, it is noticed that the complimentees tend to respond to compliments by 'agreement' in order not to break the Modesty Maxim and Agreement Maxim; however, this was not

always the case. A study by Bergqvist (2009), shows that British speakers, unlike Americans, tend to avoid agreeing with compliments, usually evading them or rejecting them all together. This shift in the compliment response strategy can be attributed to the globalization of America in the mass media over the past decade. However, since this was not properly analyzed in this study, the researcher will leave it for future studies to assert or deny this.

The results show that both American and British cultures use the 'Praise/Admiration' function and the 'NP {is, looks} (really) ADJ' structure the most. However, the

used topic by Americans most 'Ability/Performance', unlike the British who use 'Personality/Friendship the most. Lastly, established both as above, Americans and **British** used the 'Agreement' compliment response strategy the most, specifically the subcategory 'Appreciation Tokens'. American and British people are also similar in the most used subcategory within 'Non-agreement' (Disagreement) within 'Evasion' and (Ignore).



REFERENCES

- 1. Austin, J. L. (1962). How to do Things with Words. Oxford, England: Oxford University Press.
- 2. Boyle, R. (2000). "You've Worked with Elizabeth Taylor!": Phatic Functions and Implicit Compliments. Journal of Applied Linguistics.
- 3. Brown, P. and Levinson, S. (1987). Politeness: Some Universals in Language Usage.

 Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press
- 4. Castells, M. (2009). Communication Power. New York: Oxford University Press.
- 5. Chambers, J. (2003). Sociolinguistic Theory. (2nd Ed.) Oxford: Blackwell.
- 6. Chick, J. K. (1985). The Interactional Accomplishment of Discrimination in South Africa. Language in Society.
- 7. Crystal, D. (2008). A Dictionary of Linguistics and Phonetics (6th ed.). Oxford: Blackwell.
- 8. David, M. K. (2002). "You Look Good" Responses of Malaysians to Compliments. Diverse Voices 2: Selected Readings in Language. Department of English, Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication.
- 9. Deresky, H. (2008). International Management: Managing Across Borders and Cultures (6th ed.). New Jersey: Pearson Education.
- 10. Gibbs, R. W. (1999). Intentions in the Experience of Meaning. Cambridge, England: Cambridge University Press.
- 11. Giddens, A. (1990). The Consequences of Modernity. Cambridge: Polity Press
- 12. Guibernau, M. (2001) Globalization, Cosmopolitanism, and Democracy: An Interview with David Held. Constellations.

- 13. Herbert, R. (1986). Say "Thank you" or Something. American speech.
- 14. Hofstede, G. (1991). Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind. London: McGraw-Hill.
- 15. Hofstede, G., Hofstede, G. J., & Minkov, M. (2010). Cultures and Organizations: Software of the Mind (3rd ed.) New York, London: McGraw-Hill.
- 16. Holmes, J. (1986). Compliments and Compliment Responses in New Zealand English. Anthropological Linguistics, 28(4): 485-508.
- 17. _____. (1988). Compliments and Compliment Responses in New Zealand English.

 Anthropological Linguistics Journal.
- 18. _____. (1990). Apologies in New Zealand English. Language in Society 19: 155-
- 19. _____. (1995). Women, Men and Politeness. Longman, London and New York.
- 20. Hudson, R. A. (1996). Sociolinguistics. (2nd ed.) Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 21. Kasper, G. and Roever, C. (2005) 'Pragmatics in second language learning', in E. Hinkel(ed.), Handbook of Research into Second Language Teaching and Learning. London: Routledge.
- 22. Leech, G. (1983). Principles of Pragmatics. Longman, New York.
- 23. Maíz-Arévalo, C. (2010). Intercultural Pragmatics: a contrastive analysis of compliments in English and Spanish. In: Go´mez, Blanco, Luisa, Ma, Marín Arrese, J. (Eds.), Discourse and Communication: Cognitive and Functional Perspectives. Dykinson & Universidad Rey Juan Carlos, Madrid, (pp. 175-208).
- 24. Manes, J., & Wolfson, N. (1981). The Compliment Formula: Conversational Routine. Netherlands: Mount Publishers.
- 25. Manno, G. (2005): Politeness in Switzerland: between respect and acceptance. In Hickey L. & Stewart, M. (eds), Politeness in Europe, Multilingual Matters.

- 26. Minkov, M. (2011). Cultural Differences in a Globalizing World. UK: Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- 27. Morris, C. W. (1938). Foundations of the Theory of Signs. University of Chicago Press Cambridge University Press
- 28. Nevalainen, Terttu & Helena Raumolin-Brunberg. 2003. Historical sociolinguistics: Language change in Tudor and Stuart England (Longman Linguistics Library). London: Longman.
- 29. Newton, D. A. and Burgoon, J. K. (1990). Nonverbal Conflict Behaviors: Functions, Strategies, and Tactics. In Cahn, D. D. (Ed.), Intimates in Conflict: A Communication Perspective. NY: University Press
- 30. Pomerantz, A. (1978) Compliment Response: Notes on the Cooperation of Multiple Constraints. In Schenkein, J. (Ed.), Studies In The Organization Of Conversational Interaction. New York: Academic Press.
- 31. Searle, J. (1979). Expression and Meaning: Studies in the Theory of Speech Acts. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 32. Sifianou, M. (2001) "Oh! How appropriate!": Compliments and Politeness. In Bayraktaroğlu, A. & Sifianou, M. (eds.) Linguistic politeness across boundaries: The case of Greek and Turkish (pp. 391-430). Pragmatics and Beyond New Series, 88.
- 33. Bergqvist, T. (2009). Compliment Responses among Native and Non-native English Spreakers. Stockholms University Press.
- 34. Thomas, J. (1995). Meaning in interaction. An introduction to pragmatics. London: Longman.
- 35. Urano, K. 1998. Negative Pragmatic Transfer in Compliment Responses by Japanese Learners of English. Unpublished manuscript, University of Hawai'i at Manoa, Honolulu.
- 36. Wardhaugh, R. (2010). An Introduction to Sociolinguistics (6th Ed.). UK: Wiley-Blackwell.
- 37. Wolff, J. (1996). Successful Sitcom Writing. New York: St Martin's Press.

- 38. Wolfson, N. (1981). Compliments in Cross-Cultural Perspective. TESOL Quarterly.
- 39. ______. (1986). Compliments in Cross-Cultural Perspectives. In Valdes, J. M., Culture Bound: Bridging the Cultural Gap in Language Teaching. (pp. 112-120). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- 40. Yule, G. (1996). Pragmatics. Oxford: Oxford University Press

